How to do systems research

Peter Druschel



Disclaimer and Credits

There is no recipe for doing (systems) research

- as far as I know
- this makes our job so exciting
- this makes it hard to explain how to do it

Following are some thoughts and suggestions, which I learned mostly from colleagues, collaborators, and advisers

The 3 most important things in systems research

- Problem selection
- Problem selection
- Problem selection

How to find a good problem

- Not in future work sections
- Ask advisers, faculty, colleagues
 - see what they're working on, get feedback
- Do as systems researchers do: read, think, build, measure, iterate
- Look at
 - emerging technologies
 - new applications
 - boundaries of different subfields
- Do an internship
- Think big! (Your adviser will help ground you)



Is the problem important?

- Can you state it concisely?
 - elevator pitch
 - do others find it compelling?
- Are there broader principles / conclusions that can be drawn?
- What applications will benefit?
- Will it be of interest 5, 10 years from now?

Is the work novel?



- Do you understand the related work?
- Can you state concisely how your work
 - compares?
 - advances the state-of-the-art?

Who is your competition?

- Avoid crowded fields
 - unless you created it
 - or you have a truly game-changing idea
- Aim to write the
 - first,
 - best, or
 - lastpaper on a topic

Do you have the tools?



- Does the topic play to your strengths?
- Do you / your advisers and colleagues have the expertise?
- Do you have access to the data, benchmarks, infrastructure required for a compelling evaluation?
- Can you build a first prototype in a few months?

Which community / conference will appreciate the work?



- Are the ideas appropriate, and considered significant enough, for a paper in that community?
 - look at past proceedings
 - talk to people who have served on the PC
- Will the work get the best visibility there?
 - who attends the conference, reads the proceedings?

Design



"Everything should be made as simple as possible, but not simpler."

-- Albert Einstein

See also Willy Zwaenpoel's "P2P, DSM, and Other Products from the Complexity Factory"

Implemention

- Experimental apparatus designed to validate a hypothesis
- Know your hypothesis
- Clearly justify your choices
- Design, build, experiment, iterate
- Document your work meticulously
- If you perform a user study or network measurement, consider the ethics
 - Do you need IRB approval?



Evaluation

- Reproducibility
- Clearly state limitations of prototype, data, benchmarks, experiments
- Isolate the effect of your contributions
- Show why it works, not just that it works
- Avoid benchmarking crimes (see Gernot Heiser's list)
 - change one variable at a time; expose hidden costs
 - appropriate baseline; don't rely on micro benchmarks
- Let the data speak
- Draw appropriate conclusions



Writing

"Only two types of papers are accepted:

Good papers that are well written and
mediocre papers that are well written"

-- Larry Peterson



- Be aware of your audience / community
- Take your time to write, seek feedback, iterate

Organization

Structure should reflect contributions

- Break work into components that can be understood individually
 - Introduction
 - 2. Related work / background
 - System Overview
 - Subsystem 1 (first contribution)
 - 5. Subsystem 2 (second contribution)
 - 6.
 - 7. Evaluation
 - Conclusion

EuroDW 2018, Porto

Introduction

- Motivate broadly, narrow down, pitch, position
 - engage the reader



Scott Shenker, World Champion

- Manage expectations
 - Disclose and mitigate limitations
- Draft early, get feedback, iterate

Best practices

- Clarity, Concision
- Teach first, impress second
- Treat related work fairly
 - clear and explicit comparisons
- Clear take-away points / conclusions
- Attention to detail
 - spelling, grammar, consistency, citations
 - sloppiness undermines readers' trust



Dealing with the Echo: Paper accepted

- Congratulations!
- Use feedback to improve your work
- Prepare a great talk!
- How can you maximize impact?
 - Is there a broader, longer-term agenda?
 - What can you do to encourage adoption?
 - Make the code/data available
 - Give talks, engage with practitioners
 - Consider a follow-up journal article

Dealing with the Echo: Paper rejected

- Don't despair, it is a part of the game
- Don't blame the reviewers
- Clarity: Can you improve the writing?
- Novelty: Have you covered all related work, made appropriate comparisons (quantitative, qualitative)?
- Importance: How can you make the case stronger?
- Contribution: Can you generalize the solution, strengthen the evaluation?
- Fit: Did you submit to the right venue?

18

Finally: Have fun!



We're in a privileged profession! We get to work

- on exciting problem we can choose ourselves
- with extremely smart and accomplished colleagues
- on new challenges all the time

Other resources

- "How (and How Not) to Write a Good Systems Paper", Roy Levin and David D. Redell
- "You And Your Research", Richard Hamming
- "Top-10 tips for writing a paper", Jim Kurose
- "Ten Things I Wish my Adviser Had Told Me", Jim Kurose
- "How to Have a Bad Career in Research/ Academia", David Patterson et al.
- "Graduate School Keys to Success", Remzi Arpaci-Dusseau

